

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/043,710	01/09/2002		Joachim Hagenauer	2345/41A	7448
26646	7590	07/07/2004		EXAMINER	
KENYON		ON	BURD, KEVIN MICHAEL		
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2631	11
				DATE MAILED: 07/07/2004	. 11

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/043,710	HAGENAUER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin M Burd	2631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	ine 2004.					
_						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	<i>,</i> —					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 25-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 27 and 30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 25,26,28 and 29 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 10/043,710 Page 2

Art Unit: 2631

1. This office action, in response to the after final amendment filed 6/14/2004, is a non-final office action.

2. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

3. After reviewing the previously cited prior art, it is found that the combination discloses the limitations of claims 27 and 30. These limitations are addressed in the rejections stated below. In addition, a claim objection to claims 25 and 28 are stated below.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 25 and 28 are objected to because of the following informalities: the equation found in line 23 of claim 25 and line 22 of claim 28 needs a ")" after the "x_i" in both the numerator and the denominator. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious

Application/Control Number: 10/043,710

Art Unit: 2631

at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 27 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hagenauer, "Forward Error Correcting for CDMA Systems", Proceedings of ISSSTA '95 International Symposium on Spread Spectrum Techniques and Applications, Mainz, Germany, Vol. 2, September 1996, pp 566-569 in view of the instant application's disclosed prior art, and further in view of Hagenauer et al, "Iterative Decoding of Binary Block and Convolutional Codes," IEEE Transactions of Information Theory, Vol 42, No. 2, March 1996, pp 429-445.

Regarding claims 27 and 30, Hagenauer (Sept 1996) discloses a method of decoding a received signal by providing a soft-in/soft-out decoder in a receiver where the soft-in/soft-out decoder comprises an inner and outer decoder (pp 566-567). Figure 3 on page 567 shows the inner decoder outputting a signal to the input of the outer decoder. This signal comprises L values as stated in the right hand column of page 567 and the L values are soft values. The soft values will be processed as reliability information, as L values at an output of the inner decoder and the input of the outer decoder.

Hagenauer (Sept, 1996) does not explicitly disclose which types of codes are used to decode the received signal even though it is mentioned that Hadamard codes are used. However, on page 1 of the instant application, Applicants acknowledge "Transmission systems having an inner code, namely orthogonal modulation using Walsh functions or rows of Hadamard matrix as code words, and an outer code, for example a convolution code with interleavers interleave factors are known in decoding

Application/Control Number: 10/043,710

Art Unit: 2631

Page 4

methods. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate well known methods of encoding, which allow the transmission of signals to decoders, into decoder systems so decoding of well known systems can be conducted as well as newer encoding systems.

Additionally, Hagenauer (March, 1996), titled "Iterative Decoding of Binary Block and Convolution Codes" more clearly shows in figure 4 on page 433, the decoder system explained previously. This reference was cited in Hagenauer (Sept, 1996) and is a critical element in developing the information shown in the Hagenauer (Sept. 1996) reference. This citation appears on page 569. Hagenauer (March, 1996) discloses in heading III. Optimal and Suboptimal Algorithms, a maximum a posteriori decoder (MAP) is used in which soft information pertaining to the outer code bits are fed back to the first decoder as shown in figure 4 on page 433. Hagenauer (March, 1996) discloses the equation used for the implementation of the symbol-by-symbol MAP algorithm as equation 63 shown on page 438. This equation is the equation recited in the claims.

Contact Information

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry or for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Application/Control Number: 10/043,710

Art Unit: 2631

Page 5

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Burd, whose telephone number is (703) 308-7034. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

Kevin M. Burd

PATENT EXAMINER

7/6/2004